

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

DENNIS TOOLEY,)	
an individual,)	
)	CASE NO.: 1:21-cv-2629
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	
)	
HEARTLAND BEEF, INC.,)	
an Indiana Corporation,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, DENNIS TOOLEY, through his undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint and sues HEARTLAND BEEF, INC., an Indiana Corporation, for injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq., ("AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT" or "ADA") and alleges:

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, et seq., (hereinafter referred to as the "ADA"). This Court is vested with original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).
3. Plaintiff, DENNIS TOOLEY (hereinafter referred to as "MR. TOOLEY"), is a resident of the State of Indiana in Johnson County.

4. Plaintiff, MR. TOOLEY, is a qualified individual with a disability under the ADA. MR. TOOLEY suffers from Multiple Sclerosis, which causes severe nerve damage and affects his ability to walk and stand.

5. Due to his disability, Plaintiff MR. TOOLEY is substantially impaired in several major life activities and requires the use of mobility aids including a wheelchair, walker or a cane depending on his level of pain and fatigue on a daily basis.¹

6. Defendant, HEARTLAND BEEF, INC., (hereinafter referred to as “Defendant”), is an Indiana Corporation registered to do business in the State of Indiana. Upon information and belief, Defendant is the owner, lessee, and/or operator of the real property and improvements, which is the subjects of this action, to wit: the “Property”, Arby’s, generally located at 25 S. Franklin Rd, Indianapolis, IN 46219. Defendant is responsible for complying with the obligations of the ADA.

7. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the Southern District of Indiana.

**COUNT I - VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE
AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT**

8. Plaintiff realleges and reavers the preceding paragraphs as if they were expressly restated herein.

9. The Property, a fast-food restaurant, is open to the public and provides goods and services to the public.

10. Plaintiff MR. TOOLEY has visited the Property numerous times over the past year and attempted to utilize the goods and services offered at the Property because he enjoys the

¹ Mr. Tooley is capable of walking short distances without assistance on good days.

food offered at Arby's restaurants. MR. TOOLEY plans to return to the Property in the near future.

11. While at the Property, MR. TOOLEY experienced serious difficulty accessing the goods and utilizing the services due to the architectural barriers discussed herein.

12. MR. TOOLEY continues to desire to visit the Property, but fears that he will again encounter serious difficulty and safety hazards due to the barriers discussed herein which still exist. Furthermore, but for the barriers to access described herein, Plaintiff would visit the Property more often.

13. Defendant is in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. and 28 C.F.R. § 36.304 et seq. and is discriminating against the Plaintiff due to, but not limited to, its failure to provide and/or correct, the architectural barriers to access below, which were personally encountered by and hindered Plaintiff's access to the Property:

- A. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible parking spaces designated for disabled use near the front entrance due to the spaces lacking any signage to identify them exclusively for disabled use and pavement in a state of disrepair with excessive cracking. These issues make it difficult to recognize and use the disabled use parking spaces.
- B. Plaintiff encountered an inaccessible curb ramp to the main entrance due to steep side flares which make it difficult and unsafe for Plaintiff to maneuver throughout the Property.

C. Plaintiff encountered an inaccessible route to the entrance doors due to a slope in excess of 1:48 which leads directly up to the door. This makes it difficult for Plaintiff to safely approach and open the entrance door.

D. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible outdoor table seating as the tables are located on unstable terrain made of gravel. Additionally, the benches are not easily movable so it is impossible for a wheelchair user to sit and eat at the tables. These issues make it impossible for Plaintiff to eat at the tables with his wheelchair.

14. Plaintiff has visited the entire public premises described herein and encountered numerous barriers throughout. These barriers greatly reduced the Plaintiff's ability to access the goods and services available at the Property and made his visits difficult and dangerous.

15. Independent of his intent to return as a patron to the Property because he enjoys the food served there, Plaintiff additionally intends to return to the Property as an ADA tester to determine whether the barriers to access stated herein have been remedied.

16. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property is readily achievable, reasonably feasible and easily accomplishable without placing an undue burden on Defendant.

17. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property would allow Plaintiff to fully utilize the goods and services located therein.

18. The Plaintiff has been obligated to retain undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses paid by Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant, and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- A. That the Court declares that the Property owned, leased and/or operated by Defendant is in violation of the ADA;
- B. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to alter its facility to make it accessible and useable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;
- C. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize its policies and procedures towards persons with disabilities for such reasonable time so as to allow Defendant to undertake and complete corrective procedures.
- D. That the Court award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs (including expert fees), and other expenses of suit, to the Plaintiff; and
- E. That the Court award such other and further relief as it deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: October 13, 2021.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: Louis I. Mussman.
Louis I. Mussman, Esq.
Bar No. 597155
Ku & Mussman, P.A.
18501 Pines Blvd, Suite 209-A
Pembroke Pines, FL 33029
Tel: (305) 891-1322
Fax: (954) 686-3976
Louis@kumussman.com

and

Eric C. Bohnet, Esq.
Attorney No. 24761-84
Attorney at Law
6617 Southern Cross Drive
Indianapolis, Indiana 46237
Tel: (317) 750-8503
ebohnet@gmail.com